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Study Purpose

• Displace the use of diesel for generating electricity
• Assess potential for generating firm local power using municipal solid waste (MSW)
• Include feasibility of providing district energy to Whitehorse
What Does Waste to Energy (WTE) do?

- Resource recovery from the solid waste stream
  - Energy – Electricity from local resources
  - Energy - District heat from local resources
  - Recycling - Metals
- Waste volume reduction, preservation of landfill space
- Destruction of contaminants
- Dealing with waste here and now
- Reduce GHG
- Job creation
The role of thermal treatment

• One tonne of waste can deliver 400 to 700 kWh of electricity to the grid
• One tonne of waste has the same energy as one barrel of oil, or a quarter tonne of coal
• 24 tonnes of waste can provide all the electricity for a Canadian home for a year
What Does WTE NOT do?

- Does NOT replace the need for a landfill
- Does not take materials away from recycling
- Does not contaminate the atmosphere
  - Most highly regulated form of combustion
  - Generally lower emissions than from burning wood or oil
- Does not cause health issues
  - International studies show no health concerns around modern WTE plants
WTE and Recycling

- The application of the waste management hierarchy **AND** local priorities

- Financial
  - Environmental/GHG
  - Social/Community
The Role of WTE in an Integrated System

- Recycling
- Organic Treatment
- Thermal Treatment

Landfill
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Integration of WTE and Recycling

- WTE would use only feedstock that is not recycled
- WTE integrates well with recycling, composting and biogas
- Experience in the USA and Europe shows that countries with highest WTE also have highest recycling/composting and lowest landfill
Integration of WTE and Recycling

MSW Management in EU 27 - 2008

- Landfill
- Incineration
- Recycling
- Composting
How WTE Works

• Technologies offer different ways of releasing the energy in the waste
  – Conventional combustion/WTE
  – Advanced thermal treatment
    (Gasification/pyrolysis, plasma systems)

• WTE systems are essentially thermal power plants using waste as fuel instead of wood, propane or fuel oil/diesel
Chosen Technology for Study

• Conventional Combustion
  – Proven
  – Statistical cost and operations data
  – Used for study purposes only

• Advanced technologies potential for future
  – Higher energy recovery
  – Greater flexibility
  – Currently unproven
  – Little data
Approach

• Assess waste feedstock
  – Quantity
  – Quality
  – Alternatives/biomass
• Review technologies
  – Select technology for analysis
• Develop scenarios
• Financial model and analysis
Waste Variability Issues

Can we utilize biomass (wood) to fill in the gap during Winter?
Scenarios

• Scenario 1 – Maximum MSW use
  – Maximize the availability of MSW
  – Penalty is underutilized equipment

• Scenario 2 – Maximum use of equipment
  – Size WTE plant to operate near capacity
  – MSW only

• Scenario 3 – Maximum generation of power
  – Supplement MSW with biomass
Scenarios – Design Capacity
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Wood Biomass Options

1. Utilize saw mill and harvest residues from Haines Junction mill
   – Currently not utilized
   – Price and security of supply uncertain

2. Harvest dead, standing timber
   – Fire-killed wood
   – Beetle-killed wood in Haines Junction area

3. Currently open burned or buried wood waste
Biomass Sources and Costs

- Significant quantity of biomass (fire-kill and beetle-killed wood) potentially available within 250 km radius of Whitehorse
- Estimated cost: $150 / OD tonne delivered to Whitehorse
Recycling Assumptions

• Current waste diversion about 20%
• Waste continues to increase each year
• WTE demand for feedstock remains steady as capacity remains constant
• Re-calculation when recycling study complete and programs committed
Maximize Energy Utilization

- District heat sales increase energy utilization beyond electricity
- Displace both fossil fuels and electrical demand from heating
- Provides a low carbon, local energy source shielded from increasing fossil fuel costs
Markets for Heat

- District energy in Whitehorse
- Based on results of Stantec study
- Zones 1 (Riverdale) and 2 (Hospital District) and new municipal services building selected as heat markets
  - Best proximity to potential plant site
  - Highest heat demand density
District Energy Zones (Stantec)
## Base Case Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Electricity Cost $/KWh</th>
<th>Electricity Production MWh/y</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.18</td>
<td>13,920</td>
<td>Maximum use of MSW as fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>10,840</td>
<td>Best utilization of equipment burning only MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>17,100</td>
<td>Combination of maximum use of MSW as fuel, supplemented by biomass to get best utilization of equipment and generation of power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Sensitivity to District Energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Base Case (w/ District Energy) Electricity Cost $/KWh</th>
<th>Electricity Only Electricity Cost $/KWh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$0.18</td>
<td>$0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>$0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$0.16</td>
<td>$0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Scenario 3 preferred:
  – Greatest amount of constant power and heat
  – High flexibility due to dual fuel
  – Supports enhanced recycling
  – Displaces highest amount of diesel and heating oil
Enhanced Diversion Scenario

- City of Whitehorse Solid Waste Action Plan 1998 “The goals are to reduce waste by 50%”
- Yukon Recycling Review currently underway
- Additional analysis undertaken to examine impact of 50% diversion on WTE.
Key Assumptions

- Diversion rate increases from approximately 20% (today) to 50% by 2015
- Waste growth in Whitehorse projected based on trends from 10 year tipping data
- Waste from outside communities does not grow
- WTE facility is scaled to accommodate 50% diversion scenario
Waste Projections with Recycling

- Outside Communities: Teslin, Marsh Lake (2005), Mt Lorne (2005), Deep Creek (beginning 2009), Carcross and Tagish (Nov 2009), Johnsons Crossing (August 2011), Braeburn (August 2011), Champagne (September 2011)
# Waste Potentially Available to WTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Stream</th>
<th>Report Scenario Current Diversion 2012 (TPa)</th>
<th>Enhanced Diversion 2015 (TPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSW Generated within the City of Whitehorse†</td>
<td>23,595†</td>
<td>15,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSW Generated outside Whitehorse</td>
<td>2,669*</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tires</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste Oil</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abattoir Waste</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSW</td>
<td>27,050</td>
<td>16,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>3,790 ODT</td>
<td>5,770 ODT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† - MSW waste volumes projected to 2012 based on 2000-1010 tipping data
* - unconfirmed estimate includes: Mount Lorne, Marsh Lake, Teslin, Deep Creek, Carcross, Tagish, Johnson’s Crossing (From EBA 2009)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario 3 Current Diversion Rate</th>
<th>Scenario 3 50% Diversion by 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity</strong></td>
<td>30,000 TPa</td>
<td>25,000 TPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Produced</strong></td>
<td>17,100 MWh (2.2 MW)</td>
<td>13,300 MWh (1.7 MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost of Power</strong></td>
<td>$0.16/kWh</td>
<td>$0.21/kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

- Confirm MSW and Biomass availability and Design Basis
- Evaluate the impact of WTE on landfill operating costs
- Identify and evaluate potential site locations and district energy opportunities
- Refine business case analysis
- Stakeholder engagement
Questions?
morrisonhersfield.com